

**Impact of Digital Payments on Consumer Buying Behaviour in India: A Review
of Opportunities and Challenges**

Dr. Archana Awasthi

Assistant Professor, Arihant College, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

Abstract

India's digital payment ecosystem has expanded rapidly through mobile banking, cards, QR codes and the Unified Payments Interface (UPI). This review examines how payment convenience, transaction speed, perceived security, promotional incentives and digital access may influence consumers' purchase decisions. It uses secondary sources, including Reserve Bank of India publications and established technology-adoption literature. The review indicates that digital payments can reduce checkout friction, support remote and small-value purchases, and improve transaction traceability. However, fraud concerns, failed transactions, uneven digital literacy and limited connectivity can weaken trust. The paper recommends stronger consumer awareness, accessible grievance redressal, transparent refund processes and inclusive payment design. As a narrative review, it synthesises existing evidence rather than claiming new survey findings.

Keywords: Digital payments; UPI; consumer behaviour; perceived security; financial inclusion; India

1. Introduction

Digital payments have become an important part of India's retail and service economy. Consumers increasingly use UPI, debit and credit cards, mobile banking and prepaid instruments to pay for goods and services. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI, 2025) documents continuing developments in payment and settlement systems, while its research on digitalisation describes how payment infrastructure, institutions and public policy have shaped India's payment transformation (RBI, 2024). For businesses, digital payment acceptance is no longer only a back-office choice: it can affect checkout convenience, customers' willingness to complete a purchase and the overall service experience.

Consumer buying behaviour is influenced by both functional and psychological factors. A payment method that is quick and familiar can reduce the effort associated with purchasing. Conversely, fear of fraud, uncertainty about refunds or difficulty using an application may discourage adoption. Understanding these competing influences is useful for retailers, banks, fintech firms and policymakers.



2. Review of Literature

Technology-adoption research offers a framework for explaining digital-payment use. Davis (1989) identifies perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use as important determinants of technology acceptance. Venkatesh et al. (2003) extend this perspective by highlighting performance expectancy, effort expectancy, social influence and facilitating conditions. Applied to payments, usefulness may mean faster checkout or convenient transfers; ease of use concerns the clarity of the payment process; and facilitating conditions include reliable internet, a suitable device and customer support.

RBI publications describe the expansion and continuing innovation of India's payment systems, including UPI-related features and efforts to improve accessibility and security (RBI, 2024, 2025). These institutional sources establish the wider context, but do not by themselves prove that digital payments cause higher consumer spending. The relationship is likely to vary with age, income, location, product category, financial capability and trust in the provider.

3. Objectives of the Study

The objectives are: (i) to examine the ways digital payments may influence consumer purchase decisions; (ii) to identify the perceived benefits and risks associated with digital payment use; (iii) to consider implications for retailers and service providers; and (iv) to suggest measures that can strengthen safe and inclusive adoption.

4. Research Methodology

The paper uses a qualitative, descriptive review of secondary information. Sources include RBI publications and peer-reviewed foundational studies on technology adoption. The material is organised thematically around convenience, trust, incentives, access and risk. No primary survey, experiment or statistical test was conducted. Therefore, the findings are interpretive and should not be read as estimates of effect size or as representative claims about all Indian consumers.

5. Discussion and Analysis

5.1 Convenience and purchase completion: Digital payments can shorten the checkout process, reduce the need to carry cash and support online shopping. QR-based payments can also be practical for small merchants. When a transaction is straightforward, consumers may be more willing to complete a purchase. The strength of this relationship depends on payment reliability and the consumer's familiarity with the method.

5.2 Perceived security and trust: Users need confidence that money will reach the intended recipient and that problems can be resolved. Fraud alerts, secure



authentication, clear transaction records and accessible complaint channels can strengthen trust. Security measures that are confusing or difficult to complete, however, may increase friction. Businesses should never ask customers to disclose PINs, passwords or one-time passwords to receive a refund.

5.3 Promotions and spending discipline: Cashback, discounts and loyalty rewards may influence the choice of payment method and retailer. Yet promotional activity can also encourage unplanned purchases. Consumers should compare the final price and terms rather than treating a reward as a saving in itself. Retailers should disclose minimum-spend requirements, expiry dates and refund conditions.

5.4 Inclusion and the digital divide: Digital payments may extend access to people who have limited access to physical banking facilities, but adoption depends on device access, connectivity, language support and digital literacy. Older consumers, first-time users and people in low-connectivity areas may face additional barriers. Accessible interfaces, regional-language guidance and assisted onboarding can reduce these barriers.

5.5 Implications for merchants: Small businesses can benefit from faster reconciliation and reduced cash-handling requirements, but must account for device costs, network failures, settlement issues and customer support. A resilient business should maintain a clear process for checking payment status and avoid asking customers to pay repeatedly when a transaction is pending.

6. Major Findings

The review suggests five main conclusions. First, convenience and ease of use are plausible drivers of digital-payment adoption. Second, trust and perceived security are essential to continued use. Third, promotions can affect payment choice but may also encourage impulsive spending. Fourth, digital-payment benefits are uneven where connectivity and digital literacy are limited. Fifth, consumer protection and effective dispute resolution are central to a sustainable payment ecosystem. These are synthesis-based findings, not results from a new empirical sample.

7. Suggestions

Banks and payment providers should communicate fraud-prevention practices in simple language, make transaction status easy to understand and provide clear complaint pathways. Retailers should display accepted payment methods, disclose refund timelines and train staff to handle pending or failed transactions. Educational institutions and community organisations can deliver practical digital-finance sessions. Consumers should verify recipient details, use official applications, protect credentials and review transaction alerts. Future researchers could test these propositions using consumer surveys across urban and rural settings, with age, income and digital literacy treated as relevant variables.

8. Conclusion

Digital payments can make purchasing more convenient and support a more traceable retail environment, but convenience alone does not guarantee adoption or responsible spending. Trust, reliability, digital capability and consumer protection shape the experience. A balanced strategy should therefore combine innovation with security, inclusion and transparent service. Further empirical research is needed to estimate how strongly particular payment features influence buying behaviour across different consumer groups.

References

- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249008>
- Reserve Bank of India. (2024). Annual Report 2023–24: Payment and settlement systems and information technology. <https://www.rbi.org.in/>
- Reserve Bank of India. (2025). Annual Report 2024–25. <https://www.rbi.org.in/scripts/AnnualReportPublications.aspx?ld=1247>
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425–478. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540>